# 15 - 453FORMAL LANGUAGES, AUTOMATA AND COMPUTABILITY

### THE ARITHMETIC HIERARCHY

THURSDAY, MAR 6

#### **ORACLE** TMs Oracle for A<sub>TM</sub> Is (M,w) in A<sub>TM</sub>? **q**<sub>?</sub> YES Ν Ρ U Т



### **ORACLE** MACHINES

An ORACLE is a set B to which the TM may pose membership questions "Is w in B?" (formally: TM enters state  $q_2$ ) and the TM always receives a correct answer in one step (formally: if the string on the "oracle tape" is in B, state  $q_2$  is changed to  $q_{YES}$ , otherwise  $q_{NO}$ )

This makes sense even if B is not decidable! (We do not assume that the oracle B is a computable set!) We say A is semi-decidable in B if there is an oracle TM M with oracle B that semi-decides A

We say A is decidable in B if there is an oracle TM M with oracle B that decides A

### Language A "Turing Reduces" to Language B

# if A is decidable in B, ie if there is an oracle TM M with oracle B that decides A



### $\leq_T$ **VERSUS** $\leq_m$ Theorem: If $A \leq_m B$ then $A \leq_T B$ Proof:

If  $A \leq_m B$  then there is a computable function f :  $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , where for every w, w  $\in A \Leftrightarrow f(w) \in B$ 

We can thus use an oracle for B to decide A

Theorem:  $\neg AT_{TM} \leq_T AT_{TM}$ Theorem:  $\neg AT_{TM} \leq_m AT_{TM}$  THE ARITHMETIC **HIERARCHY**  $\Delta^{0} = \{ decidable sets \}$  (sets = languages)

 $\frac{\Delta 0}{1} = \{ \text{decidable sets} \}$  (sets = languages)

 $\sum_{1}^{0} = \{ \text{ semi-decidable sets } \}$ 

 $\Sigma_{n+1}^{0} = \{ \text{ sets semi-decidable in some } B \in \Sigma_{n}^{0} \}$ 

 $\frac{\Delta 0}{n+1} = \{ \text{ sets decidable in some } B \in \sum_{n=1}^{0} \}$ 

 $\prod_{n=1}^{n} \{\text{ complements of sets in } \sum_{n=1}^{n} \}$ 







- ∑<sup>0</sup><sub>1</sub> = { semi-decidable sets }
  = languages of the form { x | ∃y R(x,y) }
- I 0
  1 = { complements of semi-decidable sets }
  = languages of the form { x | ∀y R(x,y) }
  - $\frac{\Delta 0}{1} = \{ \text{ decidable sets } \}$  $= \sum_{1}^{0} \cap \prod_{1}^{0} \prod_{1}^{0}$ Where R is a decidable predicate

- $\sum_{2}^{0} = \{ \text{ sets semi-decidable in some semi-dec. B} \}$ = languages of the form { x |  $\exists y_1 \forall y_2 R(x,y_1,y_2) \}$
- $\Pi_{2}^{0} = \{ \text{ complements of } \sum_{2}^{0} \text{ sets} \} \\ = \text{ languages of the form } \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \forall \mathbf{y}_{1} \exists \mathbf{y}_{2} \ \mathsf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}) \}$
- $\Delta_2^0 = \sum_2^0 \cap \Pi_2^0$

#### Where R is a decidable predicate

 $\sum_{n=1}^{0} = \text{languages} \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \exists \mathbf{y}_{1} \forall \mathbf{y}_{2} \exists \mathbf{y}_{3} ... \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{y}_{n} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{y}_{n}) \}$ 

- $\prod_{n=1}^{n} = \text{languages} \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \forall \mathbf{y}_1 \exists \mathbf{y}_2 \forall \mathbf{y}_3 ... \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{y}_n \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_n) \}$
- $\begin{array}{c} \Delta \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \sum \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array} \cap \begin{array}{c} \Pi \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array}$

Where R is a decidable predicate

### Example **Decidable predicate** $\sum_{1}^{0}$ = languages of the form { x | $\exists y R(x,y)$ } We know that $A_{TM}$ is in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ Why? Show it can be described in this form: $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists t [M accepts w in t steps] \}$ decidable predicate $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists t T (\langle M \rangle, w, t \} \}$ $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists v (v \text{ is an accepting}) \}$ computation history of M on w}

Definition: A decidable predicate R(x,y) is some proposition about x and y<sup>1</sup>, where there is a TM M such that

for all x, y, R(x,y) is TRUE  $\Rightarrow$  M(x,y) accepts R(x,y) is FALSE  $\Rightarrow$  M(x,y) rejects

We say M "decides" the predicate R.

EXAMPLES: R(x,y) = "x + y is less than 100" R(<N>,y) = "N halts on y in at most 100 steps" Kleene's T predicate, T(<M>, x, y): M accepts x in y steps

**1.** x, y are positive integers or elements of  $\sum^*$ 

Definition: A decidable predicate R(x,y) is some proposition about x and y<sup>1</sup>, where there is a TM M such that

for all x, y, R(x,y) is TRUE  $\Rightarrow$  M(x,y) accepts R(x,y) is FALSE  $\Rightarrow$  M(x,y) rejects

We say M "decides" the predicate R.

EXAMPLES: R(x,y) = "x + y is less than 100" R(<N>,y) = "N halts on y in at most 100 steps" Kleene's T predicate, T(<M>, x, y): M accepts x in y steps

Note: A is decidable  $\Leftrightarrow$  A = {x | R(x, $\epsilon$ )}, for some decidable predicate R.

 $\sum_{n=1}^{0} = \text{languages} \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \exists \mathbf{y}_{1} \forall \mathbf{y}_{2} \exists \mathbf{y}_{3} ... \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{y}_{n} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{y}_{n}) \}$ 

- $\prod_{n=1}^{n} = \text{languages} \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \forall \mathbf{y}_1 \exists \mathbf{y}_2 \forall \mathbf{y}_3 ... \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{y}_n \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_n) \}$
- $\begin{array}{c} \Delta \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \sum \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array} \cap \begin{array}{c} \Pi \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array}$

Where R is a decidable predicate

Theorem: A language A is semi-decidable if and only if there is a decidable predicate R(x, y)such that:  $A = \{x \mid \exists y R(x,y) \}$ 

#### **Proof:**

(1) If A = { x | ∃y R(x,y) } then A is semi-decidable Because we can enumerate over all y's

(2) If A is semi-decidable, then  $A = \{ x \mid \exists y R(x,y) \}$ Let M semi-decide A Then,  $A = \{ x \mid \exists y T(\langle M \rangle, x, y) \}$  (Here M is fixed.) where

Kleene's T predicate, T(<M>, x, y): M accepts x in y steps.

### THE PAIRING FUNCTION

**Theorem.** There is a 1-1 and onto computable function < , >:  $\Sigma^* \propto \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  and computable functions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2 : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that

 $z = \langle w, t \rangle \Rightarrow \pi_1(z) = w \text{ and } \pi_2(z) = t$ 

**Proof:** Let  $w = w_1...w_n \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $t \in \Sigma^*$ . Let  $a, b \in \Sigma$ ,  $a \neq b$ .

**<w**, t**>** :=  $a w_1 \dots a w_n b t$ 

 $\pi_{1} (z) := "if z has the form a w_{1}... a w_{n} b t,$  $then output w_{1}... w_{n}, else output ε"$  $<math display="block">\pi_{2}(z) := "if z has the form a w_{1}... a w_{n} b t,$ then output t, else output ε"

- ∑<sup>0</sup><sub>1</sub> = { semi-decidable sets }
  = languages of the form { x | ∃y R(x,y) }
- I 0
  1 = { complements of semi-decidable sets }
  = languages of the form { x | ∀y R(x,y) }
  - $\frac{\Delta 0}{1} = \{ \text{ decidable sets } \}$  $= \sum_{1}^{0} \cap \prod_{1}^{0} \prod_{1}^{0}$ Where R is a decidable predicate

- $\sum_{2}^{0} = \{ \text{ sets semi-decidable in some semi-dec. B} \}$ = languages of the form { x |  $\exists y_1 \forall y_2 R(x,y_1,y_2) \}$
- $\Pi_{2}^{0} = \{ \text{ complements of } \sum_{2}^{0} \text{ sets} \} \\ = \text{ languages of the form } \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \forall \mathbf{y}_{1} \exists \mathbf{y}_{2} \ \mathsf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}) \}$
- $\Delta_2^0 = \sum_2^0 \cap \Pi_2^0$

#### Where R is a decidable predicate

### Example **Decidable predicate** $\sum_{1}^{0}$ = languages of the form { x | $\exists y R(x,y)$ } We know that $A_{TM}$ is in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ Why? Show it can be described in this form: $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists t [M accepts w in t steps] \}$ decidable predicate $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists t T (\langle M \rangle, w, t \} \}$ $A_{TM} = \{ \langle (M, w) \rangle \mid \exists v (v \text{ is an accepting}) \}$ computation history of M on w}



# $\Pi_{1}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{x \mid \forall y \ R(x,y) \}$ Show that EMPTY (ie, $E_{TM}$ ) = $\{M \mid L(M) = \emptyset\}$ is in $\Pi_{1}^{0}$ 1 EMPTY = $\{M \mid \forall w \forall t \ [M \text{ doesn't accept w in t steps]} \}$

two quantifiers??

decidable predicate

# $\prod_{1}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{ x \mid \forall y R(x,y) \}$ Show that EMPTY (ie, $E_{TM}$ ) = { M | L(M) = $\emptyset$ } is in $\prod 0$ $\mathsf{EMPTY} = \{ \mathsf{M} \mid \forall \mathsf{w} \forall \mathsf{t} [ \neg \mathsf{T}(\langle \mathsf{M} \rangle, \mathsf{w}, \mathsf{t}) ] \}$ two quantifiers?? decidable predicate

### THE PAIRING FUNCTION

**Theorem.** There is a 1-1 and onto computable function < , >:  $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  and computable functions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2 : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  such that

 $z = \langle w, t \rangle \Rightarrow \pi_1(z) = w \text{ and } \pi_2(z) = t$ 

 $EMPTY = \{ M \mid \forall w \forall t [M doesn't accept w in t steps] \}$ 

**EMPTY = { M |**  $\forall z$  [M doesn't accept  $\pi_1$  (z) in  $\pi_2$ (z) steps]}

**EMPTY = { M |**  $\forall z [ \neg T(<M>, \pi_1 (z), \pi_2(z)) ] }$ 

### THE PAIRING FUNCTION

**Theorem.** There is a 1-1 and onto computable function < , >:  $\Sigma^* \propto \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  and computable functions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2 : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that

 $z = \langle w, t \rangle \Rightarrow \pi_1(z) = w \text{ and } \pi_2(z) = t$ 

Proof: Let  $w = w_1...w_n \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $t \in \Sigma^*$ . Let  $a, b \in \Sigma$ ,  $a \neq b$ .  $\langle w, t \rangle := a w_1...a w_n b t$ 

> $\pi_{1} (z) := "if z has the form a w_{1}... a w_{n} b t,$  $then output w_{1}... w_{n}, else output ε"$  $<math display="block">\pi_{2}(z) := "if z has the form a w_{1}... a w_{n} b t,$ then output t, else output ε"



 $\Pi_{2}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{x \mid \forall y \exists z \ R(x,y,z) \}$ Show that TOTAL = { M | M halts on all inputs } is in  $\Pi_{2}^{0}$ 

 $TOTAL = \{ M \mid \forall w \exists t [M halts on w in t steps] \}$ 

decidable predicate

 $\Pi_{2}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{ x \mid \forall y \exists z \ R(x,y,z) \}$ Show that TOTAL = { M | M halts on all inputs } is in  $\Pi_{2}^{0}$ 

TOTAL = { M | ∀w ∃t [ T(<M>, w, t) ] } decidable predicate



 $\sum_{2}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{ x \mid \exists y \forall z \ R(x,y,z) \}$ Show that FIN = { M | L(M) is finite } is in  $\sum_{2}^{0}$ 

FIN = { M | ∃n∀w∀t [Either |w| < n, or M doesn't accept w in t steps] }

 $FIN = \{ M \mid \exists n \forall w \forall t (|w| < n \lor \neg T(\langle M \rangle, w, t)) \}$ 

decidable predicate



 $\sum_{3}^{0} = \text{languages of the form } \{x \mid \exists y \forall z \exists u \ R(x,y,z,u) \}$ Show that COF = { M | L(M) is cofinite } is in  $\sum_{2}^{0}$ 

 $COF = \{ M \mid \exists n \forall w \exists t [ |w| > n \Rightarrow M \text{ accept } w \text{ in } t \text{ steps} \} \}$ 

COF = { M | ∃n∀w∃t ( |w| ≤ n ∨T(<M>,w, t) )} decidable predicate









Each is m-complete for its level in hierarchy and cannot go lower (by next Theorem, which shows the hierarchy does not collapse).

L is m-complete for class C if i)  $L \in C$  and ii) L is m-hard for C, ie, for all  $L' \in C$ ,  $L' \leq_m L$ 

## $A_{TM}$ is m-complete for class C = $\sum_{1}^{0}$

i)  $A_{TM} \in C$ 

ii)  $A_{TM}$  is m-hard for C, Suppose L  $\in$  C . Show: L  $\leq_m A_{TM}$ Let M semi-decide L. Then Map  $\sum_{x} \rightarrow \sum_{x} \sum_{y} \sum_{x} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} \sum_{x} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} \sum_{x} \sum_{x}$ 

Then,  $w \in L \Leftrightarrow (M,w) \in A_{TM}$ 

### FIN is m-complete for class $C = \sum_{2}^{0} \frac{1}{2}$

i)  $FIN \in C$ ii) FIN is m-hard for C,

#### Suppose L $\in$ C . Show: L $\leq_m$ FIN

Suppose L= { w |  $\exists y \forall z R(w,y,z)$  } where R is decided by some TM D

 Supose  $L \in \sum_{2}^{0}$  ie  $L = \{ w \mid \exists y \forall z \ R(w,y,z) \}$ where R is decided by some TM D

- Show:  $L \leq_m FIN$
- Define N<sub>D,w</sub> On input s:
- Write down all strings y of length s
   For each y, try to find a z such that

   R(w, y, z) and accept if all are successful
   (here use D and w)

So,  $w \in L \Leftrightarrow N_{D,w} \in FIN$ 

### **ORACLES not all powerful**

The following problem cannot be decided, even by a TM with an oracle for the Halting Problem:

#### SUPERHALT = { (M,x) | M, with an oracle for the Halting Problem, halts on x}

#### Can use diagonalization here! Suppose H decides SUPERHALT (with oracle) Define D(X) = "if H(X,X) accepts (with oracle) then LOOP, else ACCEPT." D(D) halts ⇔ H(D,D) accepts ⇔ D(D) loops...

### **ORACLES not all powerful**

Theorem: The arithmetic hierarchy is strict. That is, the nth level contains a language that isn't in any of the levels below n.

**Proof IDEA:** Same idea as the previous slide.

SUPERHALT<sup>0</sup> = HALT = { (M,x) | M halts on x}. SUPERHALT<sup>1</sup> = { (M,x) | M, with an oracle for the Halting Problem, halts on x}

SUPERHALT<sup>n</sup> = { (M,x) | M, with an oracle for SUPERHALT<sup>n-1</sup>, halts on x}

# **Read Chapter 6.4 for next time**