Optimal Communication Design

James Best Dan Quigley Maryam Saeedi Ali Shourideh

Carnegie Mellon and Cambridge SAET 2023

July 17, 2023

Aggregation in the Age of Information

- Online platforms often rely on information aggregation
 - Review aggregators: Yelp, IMDb, Goodreads, etc.
 - Promotion of content on social media

o ...

- Common issue:
 - Strategic manipulation



- Question: Is there a way for an aggregator to overcome this strategic manipulation while being informative?
- Model:
 - 2 senders + 1 receiver
 - Senders are biased relative to receiver
 - Each sender observes a signal of the state privately
 - Sends a message independently
 - Aggregator: what to show to the receiver

Example with Uniform Distribution ____

- Receiver:
 - Action: $a \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Payoff: $\omega \times a$

Example with Uniform Distribution

- Receiver:
 - Action: $a \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Payoff: $\omega \times a$
- Senders: i = 1, 2
 - observe $s_i \in [-1, 1], s_i \sim U[-1, 1]$
 - Payoff $(\omega + b) imes a$; bias towards a = 1, b > 0

Example with Uniform Distribution

• Receiver:

- Action: $a \in \{0, 1\}$
- Payoff: $\omega \times a$
- Senders: *i* = 1, 2
 - observe $s_i \in [-1, 1], s_i \sim U[-1, 1]$
 - Payoff $(\omega + b) \times a$; bias towards a = 1, b > 0
- State:

$$\omega = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}$$

*s*₂ +1 *s*₁ --1 +1 -2*b*--1

*s*₂ +1 *s*₁ -1 +1 -1 -2b-





- Notation:
 - Collusion: senders' best allocation
- In the example:
 - Value of collusion for R is higher than value of cheap talk.
 - Red Areas > Green Areas
 - $\circ~$ (Cond.) Avg. value of ω in R < Avg. value of ω in G
- Later: we will show that there is some generality to this insight

Literature

- Wolinsky (GEB, 2002): verifiable disclosure model (limited state space)
- Goltsman, Hörner, Pavlov, and Squintani (JET, 2009): comparing different benchmarks for communication (mediation vs. negotiation)
- Multiple sender cheap talk games: Krishna and Morgan (QJE, ..), Battagalini (Ecta, 2004), Meyer et al (?) and many more; our focus is on what can be achieved with commitment.
- Incentives in information design: Onuchic and Ray (2022), Boleslavski and Kim (2023), Saeedi and Shourideh (2023)
- This paper: Optimal mechanisms (for now partially)

Model _

• Payoffs are the same as before:

•
$$u^{S}(a,\omega) = a \times (\omega + b), b > 0$$

• $u^{R}(a,\omega) = a \times \omega$
• $\omega = \frac{s_{1}+s_{2}}{2}$
• $s_{i} \sim F(s_{i}), \text{Supp}(F) = [-1, 1]$

• For now, only one assumption on F

Assumption. Mean of *s* is non-positive, i.e., information is valuable for *R*.

Mechanisms

- Information/mechanism design under commitment:
 - R commits to ignore information
 - A review aggregator
- Myerson (1984): WLOG, direct mechanisms:
 - Sender *i* reveals *s_i*
 - Mechanism recommends a = 1, with $Pr = \sigma(s_1, s_2) \in [0, 1]$
- IC:

$$\mathbb{E}_{-i}\left[\left(s_{i}+s_{-i}+2b\right)\sigma\left(s\right)\right] \geq \mathbb{E}_{-i}\left[\left(s_{i}+s_{-i}+2b\right)\sigma\left(s_{-i};\hat{s}\right)\right],\forall\hat{s}$$

• Obedience:

$$\int (s_1 + s_2) \sigma(s) \prod_{i=1,2} f(s_i) ds_i \ge 0$$

Mechanisms: Examples

- (Independent) Cheap talk with partition: $\begin{bmatrix} -1, 1 \end{bmatrix} = \bigcup_{i} [\underline{s}_{i}, \underline{s}_{i+1}]$ • IC: $\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1} \left[s \ge \underline{s}_{j^{*}(i)} \right] (\underline{s}_{i} + s + 2b) \right] =$ $\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1} \left[s \ge \underline{s}_{j^{*}(i)+1} \right] (\underline{s}_{i} + s + 2b) \right]$ • Ob.: $\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1} \left[\underline{s}_{j+1} \ge s \ge \underline{s}_{j} \right] \mathbf{1} \left[\underline{s}_{i+1} \ge s' \ge \underline{s}_{i} \right] (s + s') \right] \ge$ 0 $\iff j \ge j^{*}(i)$
- Cheap talk-ish not an equilibrium:
 - IC the same as before
 - Ob.: $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(s_1+s_2\right)\sigma\left(s\right)\right] \geq 0$
- Collusion: $\sigma(s) = \mathbf{1}[s_1 + s_2 \ge -2b]$
 - Obviously IC
 - Ob.: $\int_{s_1+s_2\geq -2b} (s_1+s_2) f(s_1) f(s_2) ds \geq 0$

Mechanism Design

• Focus on R optimal mechanisms

 $\max \mathbb{E}\left[\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)\sigma\left(s\right)\right]$

subject to

$$(\mathsf{IC}), \sigma \in [0, 1]$$

- WLOG, focus on σ : symmetric; if not, just use $\hat{\sigma}(s) = \frac{\sigma(s) + \sigma(s^T)}{2}$.
- Need to only impose one sender's IC

Small Bias: Collusion is optimal

Theorem. Suppose that $\alpha \geq \frac{b}{1+b}$ exists such that

$$1 - 3\alpha + 2\alpha \left(1 - s\right) \frac{f'\left(s\right)}{f\left(s\right)} \ge 0$$
$$1 - 3\alpha + 2\left(b - \alpha \left(s + b\right)\right) \frac{f'\left(s\right)}{f\left(s\right)} \ge 0$$

Then collusive allocation is optimal. Moreover, there exists a distribution for which these bounds are tight.

Small Bias: Collusion is optimal.

Theorem. Suppose that $\alpha \geq \frac{b}{1+b}$ exists such that

$$1 - 3\alpha + 2\alpha (1 - s) \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} \ge 0$$
$$1 - 3\alpha + 2 (b - \alpha (s + b)) \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} \ge 0$$

Then collusive allocation is optimal. Moreover, there exists a distribution for which these bounds are tight.

- Roughly speaking: we cannot have $0 \gg f'(s)/f(s)$ and $b \gg 0$.
- Tightness: If $s \sim U[-1, 1]$, $b \leq 1/2$, collusion is optimal. If b > 1/2, collusion is not optimal.
 - $\circ~$ More on this later.
- Proof: by constructing lagrange multipliers for the 2D James Best, Dan Quipley, Maryan Saeedi, Ali Shourid Optimal Computication Design

Small Bias: Examples

- Linear density: $f(s) = 1 As, A \le 0, b \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{1+A}{1+3A}$.
- Single-peaked density

$$p \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \min_s \frac{(1-s)f'(s)}{f(s)}}$$

- In general, the bounds imply that $b \le 1/2$
- something more positive: distributions that do not blow up give us a non-zero bound:

Corollary. If |f'(s)/f(s)| < M for some $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then there exists $\overline{b}_C > 0$ such that collusion is optimal when $0 \le b \le \overline{b}_C$.

Higher bias: What to do _

- In general, we do not know the answer yet but we have hopefull conjectures!!
- Wolinsky (2002): monotone vs. non-monotone mechanisms

Definition. A mechanism is monotone if and only if $\sigma(s) \ge \sigma(s'), \forall s \ge s'$.

Higher bias: What to do _

- In general, we do not know the answer yet but we have hopefull conjectures!!
- Wolinsky (2002): monotone vs. non-monotone mechanisms

Definition. A mechanism is monotone if and only if $\sigma(s) \ge \sigma(s'), \forall s \ge s'$.

• High bias: monotone does not exist.

Lemma. There is no informative monotone mechanism if $b \ge 1$.

Non-monotone mechanisms

• Non-monotone mechanisms often exist!!

Lemma. Existence

- 1. There exists $\overline{b}_N > 1$ such that if $1/2 \le b \le \overline{b}_N$ then an informative non-monotone mechanism exists.
- 2. If $\mathbb{E}s = 0$, then $\overline{b}_N = \infty$, i.e., non-montone informative mechanism always exists.

Non-monotone mechanisms

Non-monotone mechanisms often exist!!

Lemma. Existence

- 1. There exists $\overline{b}_N > 1$ such that if $1/2 \le b \le \overline{b}_N$ then an informative non-monotone mechanism exists.
- 2. If $\mathbb{E}s = 0$, then $\overline{b}_N = \infty$, i.e., non-montone informative mechanism always exists.
 - Simple non-monotone mechanism:

$$\sigma(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & s_1, s_2 \ge \hat{s} \\ 1 & s_1 \le \hat{s}, s_2 \ge \hat{s} \\ 1 & s_1 \ge \hat{s}, s_2 \le \hat{s} \\ 0 & s_1, s_2 \le \hat{s} \end{cases}$$

Non-monotone mechanisms _

• Conjecture:

Conjecture. For values of *b* high enough, simple non-monotone is almost optimal.

Optimal Non-monotone mechanisms look crazy

$$b = 1.2, s \sim U[-1, 1]$$

