

Database Applications (15-415)

Relational Calculus

Lecture 6, January 26, 2016

Mohammad Hammoud

Today...

- Last Session:
 - Relational Algebra
- Today's Session:
 - Relational calculus
 - Relational tuple calculus
- Announcements:
 - PS2 is now posted. Due on Feb 07, 2016 by midnight
 - PS1 grades are out
 - In the next recitation we will practice on relational algebra and calculus

Outline

- Relational Tuple Calculus (RTC)
 - Why?
 - Details
 - Examples
 - Equivalence with relational algebra
 - ‘Safety’ of expressions

Motivation

- **Question:** What is the main “weakness” of relational algebra?
- **Answer:** Procedural
 - It describes the steps (i.e., ‘**how**’)
 - Still useful, especially for query optimization

Relational Calculus (in General)

- It describes **what** we want (*not how*)
- It has two equivalent flavors, 'tuple' and 'domain' calculus
 - We will only focus on relational 'tuple' calculus
- It is the basis for SQL and Query By Example (QBE)
- It is useful for proofs (see query optimization, later)

Relational Tuple Calculus (RTC)

- RTC is a subset of ‘first order logic’:

$$\{t \mid P(t)\}$$

A “formula” that describes t

Give me tuples ‘t’, satisfying predicate ‘P’

- **Examples:**

- Find all students: $\{t \mid t \in STUDENT\}$
- Find all sailors with a rating above 7:

$$\{t \mid t \in Sailors \wedge t.rating > 7\}$$

Syntax of RTC Queries

- The allowed symbols:

$\wedge, \vee, \neg, \Rightarrow$

$>, <, =, \neq, \leq, \geq,$

$(,), \in$

- Quantifiers:

\forall, \exists

Syntax of RTC Queries

- Atomic “formulas”:

$t \in TABLE$

$t.attr \text{ op } const$

$t.attr \text{ op } s.attr$

Where **op** is an operator in the set $\{<, >, =, \leq, \geq, \neq\}$

Syntax of RTC Queries

- A “formula” is:
 - Any atomic formula
 - If $P1$ and $P2$ are formulas, so are

$$\neg P1; \neg P2; P1 \wedge P2; P1 \vee P2; P1 \Rightarrow P2$$

- If $P(s)$ is a formula, so are

$$\exists s(P(s))$$

$$\forall s(P(s))$$

Basic Rules

- Reminders:

- De Morgan: $P1 \wedge P2 \equiv \neg(\neg P1 \vee \neg P2)$

- Implication: $P1 \Rightarrow P2 \equiv \neg P1 \vee P2$

- Double Negation:

$$\forall s \in TABLE (P(s)) \equiv \neg \exists s \in TABLE (\neg P(s))$$

‘every human is mortal : no human is immortal’

A Mini University Database

STUDENT		
<u>Ssn</u>	Name	Address
123	smith	main str
234	jones	forbes ave

CLASS		
<u>c-id</u>	c-name	units
15-413	s.e.	2
15-412	o.s.	2

TAKES		
<u>SSN</u>	<u>c-id</u>	grade
123	15-413	A
234	15-413	B

Examples

- Find all student records

$$\{t \mid t \in \textit{STUDENT}\}$$


**output
tuple**

of type 'STUDENT'

Examples

- Find the student record with `ssn=123`

Examples

- Find the student record with $ssn=123$

$$\{t \mid t \in STUDENT \wedge t.ssn = 123\}$$

This is equivalent to the 'Selection' operator in Relational Algebra!

Examples

- Find the **name** of the student with $ssn=123$

~~$\{t \mid t \in STUDENT \wedge t.ssn = 123\}$~~

Will this work?

Examples

- Find the **name** of the student with $ssn=123$

$$\{t \mid \exists s \in STUDENT(s.ssn = 123 \wedge t.name = s.name)\}$$



't' has only one column

This is equivalent to the 'Projection' operator in Relational Algebra!

Examples

- Get records of both part time and full time students*

$$\{t \mid t \in FT_STUDENT \vee t \in PT_STUDENT\}$$

This is equivalent to the 'Union' operator in Relational Algebra!

* Assume we maintain tables for *PT_STUDENT* and *FT_STUDENT* in our Mini University DB

Examples

- Find students that are not staff*

$$\{t \mid t \in \mathit{STUDENT} \wedge t \notin \mathit{STAFF}\}$$

This is equivalent to the 'Difference' operator in Relational Algebra!

* Assume we maintain a table for STAFF in our Mini University DB and that STUDENT and STAFF are union-compatible

Cartesian Product: A Reminder

- Assume MALE and FEMALE dog tables as follows:

MALE		FEMALE
<u>name</u>	\times	<u>name</u>
spike	\boxtimes	lassie
spot		shiba

=

M.Name	F.Name
spike	lassie
spike	shiba
spot	lassie
spot	shiba

This gives *all* possible couples!

Examples (Cont'd)

- Find all the pairs of (male, female) dogs

$$\{t \mid \exists m \in \text{MALE} \wedge \\ \exists f \in \text{FEMALE} \\ (t.m - \text{name} = m.\text{name} \wedge \\ t.f - \text{name} = f.\text{name})\}$$

This is equivalent to the 'Cartesian Product' operator in Relational Algebra!

More Examples

- Find the names of students taking 15-415

STUDENT		
<u>Ssn</u>	Name	Address
123	smith	main str
234	jones	forbes ave

CLASS		
<u>c-id</u>	c-name	units
15-413	s.e.	2
15-412	o.s.	2

TAKES		
<u>SSN</u>	<u>c-id</u>	grade
123	15-413	A
234	15-413	B

2-way Join!

More Examples

- Find the names of students taking 15-415

$$\{t \mid \exists s \in STUDENT$$
$$\wedge \exists e \in TAKES (s.ssn = e.ssn \wedge$$
$$t.name = s.name \wedge$$
$$e.c - id = 15 - 415)\}$$

More Examples

- Find the names of students taking 15-415

$\{t \mid \exists s \in STUDENT$

$\wedge \exists e \in TAKES (s.ssn = e.ssn \wedge$

join

$t.name = s.name \wedge$

projection

$e.c - id = 15 - 415) \}$

selection

More Examples

- Find the names of students taking a 2-unit course

STUDENT		
<u>Ssn</u>	Name	Address
123	smith	main str
234	jones	forbes ave

CLASS		
<u>c-id</u>	c-name	units
15-413	s.e.	2
15-412	o.s.	2

TAKES		
<u>SSN</u>	<u>c-id</u>	grade
123	15-413	A
234	15-413	B

3-way Join!

More Examples

- Find the names of students taking a 2-unit course

$$\{t \mid \exists s \in STUDENT \wedge \exists e \in TAKES$$
$$\exists c \in CLASS(s.ssn = e.ssn \wedge$$
$$e.c - id = c.c - id \wedge$$
$$t.name = s.name \wedge$$
$$c.units = 2)\}$$

join

projection

selection

What is the equivalence of this in Relational Algebra?

More on Joins

- Assume a Parent-Children (PC) table instance as follows:

PC	
<u>p-id</u>	c-id
Mary	Tom
Peter	Mary
John	Tom



PC	
<u>p-id</u>	c-id
Mary	Tom
Peter	Mary
John	Tom

- Who are Tom's grandparent(s)? (*this is a self-join*)

More Join Examples

- Find Tom's grandparent(s)

$$\{t \mid \exists p \in PC \wedge \exists q \in PC \\ (p.c - id = q.p - id \wedge \\ p.p - id = t.p - id \wedge \\ q.c - id = "Tom") \}$$

What is the equivalence of this in Relational Algebra?

Harder Examples: DIVISION

- Find suppliers that shipped all the bad parts

SHIPMENT	
<u>s#</u>	<u>p#</u>
s1	p1
s2	p1
s1	p2
s3	p1
s5	p3

÷

BAD_P
<u>p#</u>
p1
p2

=

BAD_S
<u>s#</u>
s1

Harder Examples: DIVISION

- Find suppliers that shipped all the bad parts

$$\{t \mid \forall p(p \in \text{BAD_P} \Rightarrow (\exists s \in \text{SHIPMENT}(t.s\# = s.s\# \wedge s.p\# = p.p\#))))\}$$

General Patterns

- There are three equivalent versions:

1) If it is bad, he shipped it

$$\{t \mid \forall p(p \in \text{BAD} _ P \Rightarrow (P(t)))\}$$

2) Either it was good, or he shipped it

$$\{t \mid \forall p(p \notin \text{BAD} _ P \vee (P(t)))\}$$

3) There is no bad shipment that he missed

$$\{t \mid \neg \exists p(p \in \text{BAD} _ P \wedge (\neg P(t)))\}$$

More on Division

- Find (SSNs of) students who are taking all the courses that $ssn=123$ is (and maybe even more)

One way to think about this:

Find students 's' so that if 123 takes a course \Rightarrow so does 's'

More on Division

- Find (SSNs of) students who are taking all the courses that $ssn=123$ is (and maybe even more)

$$\{o \mid \forall t((t \in TAKES \wedge t.ssn = 123) \Rightarrow \\ \exists t1 \in TAKES (\\ t1.c - id = t.c - id \wedge \\ t1.ssn = o.ssn) \\)\}$$

'Proof' of Equivalence

- Relational Algebra \leftrightarrow RTC

But...

Safety of Expressions

- FORBIDDEN:

~~$\{t \mid t \notin \text{STUDENT}\}$~~

It has infinite output!!

- Instead, always use:

$\{t \mid \dots t \in \text{SOME} - \text{TABLE}\}$

Summary

- The relational model has rigorously defined query languages — simple and powerful
- Relational algebra is more operational/procedural
 - Useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans
- Relational calculus is **declarative**
 - Users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute them

Summary

- Several ways of expressing a given query
 - A *query optimizer* should choose the most efficient version
- Algebra and “safe” calculus have the same *expressive power*
 - This leads to the notion of *relational completeness*

Next Class

SQL- Part I