#### Database Applications (15-415)

#### ER to Relational & Relational Algebra Lecture 4, January 20, 2015

#### Mohammad Hammoud



# Today...

#### Last Session:

- The relational model
- Today's Session:
  - ER to relational
  - Relational algebra
    - Relational query languages (in general)
    - Relational operators

#### Announcements:

- PS1 is due on Thursday, Jan 22 by midnight
- In the next recitation we will practice on translating ER designs into relational databases
- The recitation time and location will remain the same for the whole semester (i.e., every Thursday at 4:30PM in Room # 1190)



## Outline





### Strong Entity Sets to Tables





# **Relationship Sets to Tables**

- In translating a relationship set to a relation, attributes of the relation must include:
  - 1. Keys for each participating entity set (as foreign keys)
    - This set of attributes forms a *superkey* for the relation
  - 2. All descriptive attributes
- Relationship sets
  - 1-to-1, 1-to-many, and many-to-many
  - Key/Total/Partial participation



#### M-to-N Relationship Sets to Tables



CREATE TABLE Works\_In( ssn CHAR(11), did INTEGER, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (ssn, did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees, FOREIGN KEY (did) REFERENCES Departments)

ة صار نبحی مبلون فی ق **Carnegie Mellon University Qatar** 

#### 1-to-M Relationship Sets to Tables



Approach 1: Create separate tables for Manages and Departments

#### 1-to-M Relationship Sets to Tables



#### Approach 2:

Create a table for only the Departments entity set (i.e., take advantage of the key constraint)

#### One-Table vs. Two-Table Approaches

The one-table approach:

(+) Eliminates the need for a separate table for the involved relationship set (e.g., Manages)

(+) Queries can be answered without combining information from two relations

- (-) Space could be wasted!
  - What if several departments have no managers?
- The two-table approach:
  - The opposite of the one-table approach!



### Translating Relationship Sets with Participation Constraints

What does the following ER diagram entail (with respect to Departments and Managers)?



Every *did* value in Departments table must appear in a row of the Manages table- *if defined*- (with a non-null *ssn* value!)

### Translating Relationship Sets with Participation Constraints

Here is how to create the "Dept\_Mgr" table using the one-table approach:

CREATE TABLE Dept\_Mgr( did INTEGER, dname CHAR(20), budget REAL, ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees, ON DELETE NO ACTION)

#### Can this be captured using the two-table approach?

### Translating Relationship Sets with Participation Constraints

Here is how to create the "Dept\_Mgr" table using the one-table approach:

CREATE TABLE Dept\_Mgr( did INTEGER, dname CHAR(20), budget REAL, ssn CHAR(11) NOTICULL, since DATE, PRIMARY KEY (did), FOREIGN KEY (ssn)-REFERENCES Employees, ON DELETE SET NULL)

Would this work?

# Translating Weak Entity Sets

- A weak entity set always:
  - Participates in a one-to-many binary relationship
  - Has a key constraint and total participation



Mellon University (

- Which approach is ideal for that?
  - The one-table approach

## **Translating Weak Entity Sets**

 Here is how to create "Dep\_Policy" using the one-table approach



#### **Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations**

Consider the following example:





### Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations

- General approach:
  - Create 3 relations: "Employees", "Hourly\_Emps" and "Contract\_Emps"



EMP (ssn, name, lot)





- How many times do we record an employee?
- What to do on deletions?
- How to retrieve *all* info about an employee?



### Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations

- Alternatively:
  - Just create 2 relations "Hourly\_Emps" and "Contract\_Emps"



 Each employee must be in one of these two subclasses



### **Translating Aggregations**

Consider the following example:



# **Translating Aggregations**

- Standard approach:
  - The Employees, Projects and Departments entity sets and the Sponsors relationship sets are translated as described previously
  - For the Monitors relationship, we create a relation with the following attributes:
    - The key attribute of Employees (i.e., ssn)
    - The key attributes of Sponsors (i.e., did, pid)
    - The descriptive attributes of Monitors (i.e., until)



Carnegie Mellon University ()

name

#### The Relational Model: A Summary

- A tabular representation of data
- Simple and intuitive, currently one of the most widely used
  - Object-relational variant is gaining ground
- Integrity constraints can be specified (by the DBA) based on application semantics (DBMS checks for violations)
  - Two important ICs: primary and foreign keys
  - Also: not null, unique
  - In addition, we *always* have domain constraints
- Mapping from ER to Relational is (fairly) straightforward!

# ER to Tables - Summary of Basics

- Strong entities:
  - Key -> primary key
- (Binary) relationships:
  - Get keys from all participating entities:
    - 1:1 -> either key can be the primary key
    - 1:N -> the key of the 'N' part will be the primary key
    - M:N -> both keys will be the primary key
- Weak entities:
  - Strong key + partial key -> primary key
  - ..... ON DELETE CASCADE



#### ER to Tables - Summary of Advanced

- Total/Partial participation:
  - NOT NULL
- Ternary relationships:
  - Get keys from all; decide which one(s) -> primary Key
- Aggregation: like relationships
- ISA:
  - 3 tables (most general)
  - 2 tables ('total coverage')



## Outline



جامعہ کارنی جی میلوں فی قطر Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

# **Relational Query Languages**

- Query languages (QLs) allow manipulating and retrieving data from databases
- The relational model supports simple and powerful QLs:
  - Strong formal foundation based on logic
  - High amenability for effective optimizations
  - Query Languages != programming languages!
    - QLs are not expected to be "Turing complete"
    - QLs are not intended to be used for complex calculations

ہے کارنیجی میلود فی ) egie Mellon University

QLs support easy and efficient access to large datasets

# Formal Relational Query Languages

- There are two mathematical Query Languages which form the basis for commercial languages (e.g., SQL)
  - Relational Algebra
    - Queries are composed of operators
    - Each query describes a step-by-step procedure for computing the desired answer
    - Very useful for representing *execution plans*
  - Relational Calculus
    - Queries are subsets of first-order logic
    - Queries describe desired answers without specifying how they will be computed
    - A type of non-procedural (or declarative) formal query language



# Formal Relational Query Languages

- There are two mathematical Query Languages which form the basis for commercial languages (e.g., SQL)
  - Relational Algebra
    - Queries are composed of operators
    - Each query describ This session's topic dure for computing the desired answer
    - Very useful for representing *execution plans*
  - Relational Calculus
    - Queries are subsets of first-order logic
    - Queries dNext session's topic (very briefly) g how they will be computed

A type of non-procedural (or declarative) formal query language

جا ہجۃ کارنیجی ہیلوں فی قطر Carnegie Mellon University Qatar

## Outline





# **Relational Algebra**

- Operators (with notations):
  - 1. Selection ( $\emptyset$  )
  - 2. Projection (1 )
  - 3. Cross-product (X)
  - 4. Set-difference (—)
  - 5. Union (U)
  - 6. Intersection ( $\cap$ )
  - 7. Join (▷<)
  - 8. Division (  $\div$  )
  - 9. Renaming (ho)
- Each operation returns a relation, hence, operations can be *composed*! (i.e., Algebra is "closed")

**Carnegie Mellon University Qatar** 

## **Relational Algebra**

Operators (with notations):



 Each operation returns a relation, hence, operations can be *composed*! (i.e., Algebra is "closed")

**Carnegie Mellon University Qatar** 

## The Projection Operatation

#### • Projection: $\pi_{_{att-list}}(R)$

- "Project out" attributes that are NOT in *att-list*
- The schema of the output relation contains ONLY the fields in att-list, with the same names that they had in the input relation

• Example 1: 
$$\pi_{sname,rating}(S2)$$

**Input Relation:** 

**Output Relation:** 

sid	sname	rating	age	sname	rating
28	yuppy	9	35.0	yuppy	9
31	lubber	8	55.5	lubber	8
44	guppy	5	35.0	guppy	5
58	rusty	10	35.0	rusty	10



### The Projection Operation

Example 2:  $\pi_{age}(S2)$ 



- The projection operator eliminates *duplicates*!
  - Note: real DBMSs typically do not eliminate duplicates unless explicitly asked for

Carnegie Mellon University Oatar

## The Selection Operation

- Selection:  $\sigma_{condition}$  (
  - Selects rows that satisfy the selection condition
  - The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of the input relation
- Example:

$$\sigma_{rating > 8}^{(S2)}$$

#### Input Relation:

**Output Relation:** 

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0



## **Operator Composition**

The output relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation! (Operator composition)



10

rusty

# The Union Operation

- Union: RUS
  - The two input relations must be union-compatible
    - Same number of fields
    - `Corresponding' fields have the same type
  - The output relation includes all tuples that occur "in either" R or S "or both"
  - The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of R
- Example:  $S1 \cup S2$

#### Input Relations:

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

sid	sname	rating	age	
28	yuppy	9	35.0	
31	lubber	8	55.5	
44	guppy	5	35.0	
58	rusty	10	35.0	l V

**S2** 

#### **Output Relation:**

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0
44	guppy	5	35.0
28	yuppy	9	35.0

## The Intersection Operation

#### • Intersection: $R \cap S$

- The two input relations must be union-compatible
- The output relation includes all tuples that occur "in both" R and S
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of R
- Example:  $S1 \cap S2$

#### **Input Relations:**

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

sid	sname	rating	age	
28	yuppy	9	35.0	
31	lubber	8	55.5	
44	guppy	5	35.0	
58	rusty	10	35.0	

**S2** 

#### **Output Relation:**

	sid	sname	rating	age
	31	lubber	8	55.5
/	58	rusty	10	35.0

**S1** 

### The Set-Difference Operation

#### • Set-Difference: R - S

- The two input relations must be union-compatible
- The output relation includes all tuples that occur in R "but not" in S
- The schema of the output relation is identical to the schema of R
- Example: S1–S2

#### **Input Relations:**

Output	<b>Relation:</b>
--------	------------------

<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

**S2** 



**S1** 

# The Cross-Product and Renaming Operations

#### Cross Product: RXS

- Each row of R is paired with each row of S
- The schema of the output relation concatenates S1's and R1's schemas
- Conflict: R and S might have similar field names
- Solution: Rename fields using the "Renaming Operator"
- Renaming:  $ho(R(\overline{F}), E)$





# The Cross-Product and Renaming Operations

#### Cross Product: RXS

- Each row of R is paired with each row of S
- The schema of the output relation concatenates S1's and R1's schemas
- Conflict: R and S might have the same field name

**R1** 

- Solution: Rename fields using the "Renaming Operator"
- Renaming:  $ho(R(\overline{F}), E)$



#### **Output Relation:**

 $\rho(C(1 \rightarrow sid1, 5 \rightarrow sid2), S1 \times R1)$ 

**S1** 

#### Next Class

# Relational Algebra (Cont'd)

